Case 1:07-cv-05177-AKH	Document 1	Filed 05/15/2007	Page 1 of 11
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YOR	kK		
IN RE WORLD TRADE CENTER DISASTER SITE LITIGATION		21 MC 100 (AKH)	
DOUGLAS EDWARDS AND MAUREEN ED	WARDS	DOCKET NO.	
Pla	intiffs,	CHECK-OFF ("SHE COMPLAINT RELATED TO THE MASTER COMPLA	E
- against -			
A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,		PLAINTIFF(S) DEN JURY	MAND A TRIAL BY
SEE ATTACHED RIDER,			
Def	endants.		
By Order of the Honorable Alv 2006, ("the Order"), Amended Master C			9 1
	NOTICE OF	ADOPTION	
All headings and paragraphs in instant Phintiff(s) as if fully set forth he Plaintiff(s), which are listed below. The and specific case information is set forth,	nerein in additio se are marked w	on to those paragraphs with an '☑" if applicable	specific to the individual
Plaintiffs, DOUGLAS EDWARD WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAFallege:		, ,	
	I. PART	IES	

## A. PLAINTIFF(S)

1. and a citizen	✓ Plaintiff, DOUGLAS 1 of New York residing at 212		the "Injured Plaintiff"), is an indiv	/idua
	G	(OR)		
2.	Alternatively, $\square$	is the	of Decedent	
	, and brings this claim	in his (her) capacity as o	f the Estate of	,
	Please r	ead this document careful	ly.	
	It is very important that you j	fill out each and every sect	ion of this document.	

Ca	se 1:07-cv-05177-AKH Document	t 1 Filed 05/15/2007 Page 2 of 11
3. York residing Injured Plaint	g at 212 Farham Lane, North Babylon, North:  SPOUSE at all relevant times be DOUGLAS EDWARDS, and the injuries sustained by her hu	nafter the "Derivative Plaintiff"), is a citizen of New IY 11703-, and has the following relationship to the nerein, is and has been lawfully married to Plaintiff brings this derivative action for her (his) loss due to asband (his wife), Plaintiff DOUGLAS EDWARDS. Other:
4. Police Depart	In the period from 9/15/2001 to 5/29/2 tment (NYPD) as a K-9 Police Officer at	002 the Injured Plaintiff worked for New York:
1	Please be as specific as possible when fi	lling in the following dates and locations
From on or al Approximated Approximated	I Trade Center Site i.e., building, quadrant, etc.) bout _9/15/2001_ until _5/29/2002_; ly _12_ hours per day; for ly _206_ days total.  York City Medical Examiner's Office	☐ The Barge  From on or about until;  Approximately hours per day; for  Approximately days total.  ☐ Other:* For injured plaintiffs who worked at  Non-WTC Site building or location. The injured
From on or all Approximated Approximated	bout until, ly hours per day; for ly days total.	plaintiff worked at the address/location, for the dates alleged, for the hours per day, for the total days, and for the employer, as specified below:
☐ The Fresh From on or al Approximate Approximate	Kills Landfill bout until; ly hours per day; for ly days total.	From on or about until; Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total; Name and Address of Non-WTC Site Building/Worksite:
		pper if necessary. If more space is needed to specify ate sheet of paper with the information.
5.	above;  ✓ Was exposed to and inhaled or dates at the site(s) indicated above;	noxious fumes on all dates, at the site(s) indicated ringested toxic substances and particulates on all or touched toxic or caustic substances on all dates at

6.

Injured	l Plaintiff
V	Has not made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund. Pursuant to \$405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was denied. Pursuant to $\$405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. $\$40101$ , the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund, that was subsequently withdrawn by Ground-Zero Plaintiff. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was granted. Pursuant to $\$405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. $\$40101$ , Ground Zero-Plaintiff has waived her/his right(s) to pursue any further legal action for the injuries identified in said claim.

#### B. DEFENDANT(S)

The following is a list of all Defendant(s) named in the Master Complaint. If checked, all paragraphs pertaining to that Defendant are deemed pleaded herein.

☑ THE CITY OF NEW YORK	☑ A RUSSO WRECKING
✓ A Notice of Claim was timely filed and	☑ ABM INDUSTRIES, INC.
served on 3/14/07 and	☑ ABM JANITORIAL NORTHEAST, INC.
☐ pursuant to General Municipal Law §50-	☑ AMEC CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT,
h the CITY held a hearing on(OR)	INC.
☐ The City has yet to hold a hearing as	✓ AMEC EARTH & ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
	✓ ANTHONY CORTESE SPECIALIZED
required by General Municipal Law §50-h	HAULING, LLC, INC.
✓ More than thirty days have passed and	☑ ATLANTIC HEYDT CORP
the City has not adjusted the claim	☑ BECHTEL ASSOCIATES PROFESSIONAL
(OR)	CORPORATION
☐ An Order to Show Cause application to	☑ BECHTEL CONSTRUCTION, INC.
deem Plaintiff's (Plaintiffs') Notice of	☑ BECHTEL CORPORATION
Claim timely filed, or in the alternative to grant	☑ BECHTEL ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
Plaintiff(s) leave to file a late Notice of Claim	☑ BERKEL & COMPANY, CONTRACTORS,
Nunc Pro Tunc (for leave to file a late Notice of	INC.
Claim <i>Nunc Pro Tunc</i> ) has been filed and a	☑ BIG APPLE WRECKING & CONSTRUCTION
determination	CORP
$\square$ is pending	□ BOVIS LEND LEASE, INC.
☐ Granting petition was made on	☑ BOVIS LEND LEASE LMB, INC.
☐ Denying petition was made on	☑ BREEZE CARTING CORP
	☑ BREEZE NATIONAL, INC.
☐ PORT AUTHORITY OF NEW YORK AND	☑ BRER-FOUR TRANSPORTATION CORP.
NEW JERSEY ["PORT AUTHORITY"]	☑ BURO HAPPOLD CONSULTING ENGINEERS,
☐ A Notice of Claim was filed and served	P.C.
pursuant to Chapter 179, §7 of The	☑ C.B. CONTRACTING CORP
Unconsolidated Laws of the State of New	☑ CANRON CONSTRUCTION CORP
York on	☐ CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF
☐ More than sixty days have elapsed since	NEW YORK, INC.
the Notice of Claim was filed, (and)	☑ CORD CONTRACTING CO., INC
☐ the PORT AUTHORITY has	$\square$ CRAIG TEST BORING COMPANY INC.
adjusted this claim	☑ DAKOTA DEMO-TECH
☐ the PORT AUTHORITY has not	☑ DIAMOND POINT EXCAVATING CORP
adjusted this claim.	☑ DIEGO CONSTRUCTION, INC.
	☑ DIVERSIFIED CARTING, INC.
☐ 1 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ DMT ENTERPRISE, INC.
□ 1 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ D'ONOFRIO GENERAL CONTRACTORS
□ 2 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	CORP
□ 2 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ EAGLE LEASING & INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY
☐ 4 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	✓ EAGLE ONE ROOFING CONTRACTORS INC.
□ 4 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☐ EAGLE SCAFFOLDING CO, INC.
☐ 5 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ EJ DAVIES, INC.
☐ 5 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ EN-TECH CORP
,	☐ ET ENVIRONMENTAL
☐ 7 WORLD TRADE COMPANY, L.P.	□EVANS ENVIRONMENTAL

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

☑ ROBERT L GEROSA, INC

✓ RODAR ENTERPRISES, INC.

✓ ROYAL GM INC.

☑ SAB TRUCKING INC.

✓ SAFEWAY ENVIRONMENTAL CORP

☑ SEASONS INDUSTRIAL CONTRACTING

☑ WSP CANTOR SEINUK GROUP

☑ YANNUZZI & SONS INC

✓ YONKERS CONTRACTING COMPANY, INC.

✓ YORK HUNTER CONSTRUCTION, LLC

☑ ZIEGENFUSS DRILLING, INC.

☐ OTHER:

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

## 

☐ Non-WTC Site Building Owner	☐ Non-WTC Site Building Managing Agent
Name:	Name:
Business/Service Address:	Business/Service Address:
Building/Worksite Address:	Building/Worksite Address:
☐ Non-WTC Site Lessee	<u> </u>
Name:	
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	

# Case 1:07-cv-05177-AKH Document 1 Filed 05/15/2007 Page 7 of 11 II. JURISDICTION

The Court's jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action is:

Stabil	val jurisdiction over this action, pursuant to 28	Jurisdi ut the U.S.C	iction, (or);  Other (specify): Court has already determined that it has C. § 1441.
of lial			d defendants based upon the following theories in such a claim under the applicable substantive
<b>V</b>	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law(s) including §§ 200 and 240	<b>V</b>	Common Law Negligence, including allegations of Fraud and Misrepresentation
V	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law 241(6)		<ul> <li>✓ Air Quality;</li> <li>✓ Effectiveness of Mask Provided;</li> <li>☐ Effectiveness of Other Safety Equipment Provided</li> </ul>
<b>V</b>	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-a		(specify:);  ✓ Other(specify): Not yet determined
V	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-e		Wrongful Death
		V	Loss of Services/Loss of Consortium for Derivative Plaintiff

Other: \_

#### Case 1:07-cv-05177-AKH Document 1 Filed 05/15/2007 Page 8 of 11 IV CAUSATION, INJURY AND DAMAGE

1. As a direct and proximate result of defendant's culpable actions in the rescue and/or recovery and/or construction, renovation, alteration, demolition and all work performed at the premises, the Injured Plaintiff sustained (including, but not limited to) the following injuries:

	Cancer Injury: N/A.  Date of onset:  Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:		Cardiovascular Injury: N/A.  Date of onset:  Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:
	Respiratory Injury: <u>Chronic Cough; Cough</u> Date of onset: <u>8/17/2006</u> Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: <u>To be supplied at a later date</u>	✓	Fear of Cancer Date of onset: 8/17/2006 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date
V	Digestive Injury: Heartburn/acid reflux/GERD; Stomach Problems, Including, but not limited to, Nausea and/or Acid Reflux Date of onset: 8/17/2006 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date	✓	Other Injury: Chronic Headaches; Sleeping Problems Date of onset: 8/17/2006 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date

*NOTE:* The foregoing is *NOT* an exhaustive list of injuries that may be alleged.

2. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries identified in paragraph "1", above, the

Grou dama	nd Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer the following compensable ages:
	Pain and suffering
V	Loss of the enjoyment of life
V	Loss of earnings and/or impairment of earning capacity
<b>▽</b>	Loss of retirement benefits/diminution of retirement benefits  Expenses for medical care, treatment, and rehabilitation
<b>✓</b>	Other:  ✓ Mental anguish ✓ Disability ✓ Medical monitoring ✓ Other: Not yet determined.

3. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries described *supra*, the derivative plaintiff(s), if any, have in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer a loss of the love, society, companionship, services, affection, and support of the plaintiff and such other losses, injuries and damages for which compensation is legally appropriate.

**WHEREFORE**, plaintiff(s) respectfully pray that the Court enter judgment in his/her/their favor and against defendant(s) for damages, costs of suit and such other, further and different relief as may be just and appropriate.

Plaintiff(s) demands that all issues of fact in this case be tried before a properly empanelled jury.

Dated: New York, New York April 25, 2007

Yours, etc.,

Edwards

Worby, Groner Edelman & Napoli Bern, LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s), Douglas Edwards and Maureen

By: \_\_\_\_\_

Christopher R. LoPalo (CL 6466)

115 Broadway 12<sup>th</sup> Floor

New York, New York 10006

Phone: (212) 267-3700

Case 1:07-cv-05177-AKH Document 1 Filed 05/15/2007 Page 10 of 11

ATTORNEY VERIFICATION

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO, an attorney at law, duly admitted to practice in the Courts of the State of New York, affirms under the penalties of

perjury that:

He is the attorney for the plaintiff(s) in the above-entitled action.

That he has read the foregoing SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT and knows

the contents thereof, and upon information and belief, deponent believes

the matters alleged therein to be true.

The reason this Verification is made by deponent and not by the

plaintiff(s) is that the plaintiff(s) herein reside(s) in a county other

than the one in which the plaintiff's attorneys maintain their office.

The source of deponent's information and the grounds of his belief

are communication, papers, reports and investigation contained in the

file.

DATED: New York, New York

April 25, 2007

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO

Docke	et No:
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
===	Douglas Edwards (and Wife, Maureen Edwards),
	Plaintiff(s) - against -
	A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,
	Defendant(s).
==:	SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT
	WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP  Attorneys for: Plaintiff(s)  Office and Post Office Address, Telephone  115 Broadway - 12th Floor  New York, New York 10006  (212) 267-3700
===	To Attorney(s) for
	Service of a copy of the within is hereby admitted.  Dated,
	Attorney(s) for
===	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE:
	□ NOTICE OF ENTRY  that the within is a (certified) true copy of an duly entered in the office of the clerk of the within named court on20
	That an order of which the within is a true copy will be presented for settlement to the HON. one of the judges of the within named Court, at
	on20 atM.  Dated,  Yours, etc.,  WORRY CRONED EDELMAN & NABOLI DERN. LLD.

WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP